

Template Reference: DOC24/3137063 Revision 1 December 2024



# **Project details**

Project name:

Vincentia High School Upgrade

# Purpose and limitations

This checklist is intended to assist project and consultant teams in checking that Reviews of Environmental Factors (REF) appropriately assess a proposed activity and address legislative requirements. It seeks to address common requirements, does not address every potential environmental matter that may be relevant to a site and includes matters that will not be relevant to all sites/proposals. The project consultant town planner is responsible for identifying potential environmental environments to consider and mitigate potential impacts.

# Adequacy review

Complete the table below to check that the REF and supporting technical investigations have adequately assessed the proposed activity.

Requirement	Y	N	N/A	Comments
General requirements				
Regulatory requirements				
Does the REF include:				
an acknowledgement of County?				
details of:	$\boxtimes$			
<ul> <li>the proposed activity?</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>need for the activity?</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
<ul> <li>alternatives considered, including the do-nothing option?</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
<ul> <li>relevant planning policies, including relevant</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
indicative layout plans, masterplans, strategic plans				
or Voluntary Planning Agreements apply to the site?				
<ul> <li>how proposal relates to relevant legislation and policies?</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>related approvals required?</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
<ul> <li>relevant determining authority (i.e. the NSW Department of Education)</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
<ul> <li>a description of the site (including address and lot/DP) and surrounding environment using text and plans/photos including details the environmental features and planning constraints?</li> </ul>	S			
<ul> <li>a description of land / road reserves associated with any off-site works?</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>a summary of existing approvals and relevant conditions that apply to the site?</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>for existing schools, confirmation that the proposed activity does not contravene a relevant condition of consent?</li> </ul>				
an assessment of potential impacts of the proposal?	$\boxtimes$			
a summary of consultation undertaken, responses received and how responses were considered?	$\boxtimes$			Pre notification version of REF
a statement certifying that the contents are true and correct?				
a conclusion that the proposal is not likely to significantly affect the environment or threatened species, communities or habitats unless a Species Impact Statement (SIS) (for aquatic biodiversity) or (terrestrial) Biodiversity Development Assessment Report (BDAR) has been prepared?	/ 🛛			
a statement that the proposed activity qualifies as	$\boxtimes$			

Vincentia High School Upgrade | REF Review Checklist | 1/04/2025 | 2 / 17

Requirement	Y	N	N/A	Comments
development without consent?				
a detailed response to the design quality principles set	$\boxtimes$			
out in the T&I SEPP?				
a detailed response to the Design for Schools Guide?	$\boxtimes$			
where relevant, a detailed response to any School			$\boxtimes$	
Design Review Panel comments?				
a schedule of mitigation measures that are specific and	$\boxtimes$			
deliverable?				
Has the REF addressed s171 of the EP&A Reg including the	$\boxtimes$			
environmental factors set out in the October 2024 Addendum				
for Consideration of environmental factors for health services				
facilities and schools and s171A (if the site is located in a				
regulated water catchment)?				
Has the REF been prepared in accordance with the Part 5	$\boxtimes$			
Guidelines, including the October 2024 Addendum for				
Consideration of environmental factors for health services				
facilities and schools??				
If early engagement has occurred, has the REF summarised	$\boxtimes$			
the issues raised been summarised and set out how they				
have been considered?	_	_	_	
Scope	$\boxtimes$			
Does the REF incorporate the relevant scope, including				
associated works such as additional infrastructure (i.e.				
substation, pumping stations, roadworks, stormwater etc.)?	5-3			
Landowner's detail and consent	$\boxtimes$			
If owned by 'education', does the REF note that the land is				
owned by the Minister for Education and Early Learning				
rather than the department? Has landowner's consent been obtained or has the				
landowner been notified of the REF?	$\boxtimes$			
Note: It is the preference Landowner's consent is to be obtained				
prior to lodgement. However, where this is not possible and for any				
public domain or road works on council land, the council must be				
notified of the proposed works prior to lodgement of the REF.				
Title details	$\boxtimes$			
Has a copy of the following been obtained to inform the REF:				
• the certificates of title(s) for the site that is/are less than				
six months old?				
the deposited plan?	$\boxtimes$			
any instruments or encumbrances registered over the	$\boxtimes$			
land?				
a detailed survey plan for the site that is less than 12	$\square$			
months old?				
Easements and encumbrances	$\boxtimes$			
Do the survey plan, proposed site plan and civil plans:				
clearly detail existing easements and encumbrances?				
demonstrate that no buildings, works, structures,	$\boxtimes$			
earthworks, trenches or other activities would contravene				
or impinge upon any easement or encumbrance over the				
site unless with written approval of the easement				
beneficiary?				
Plans	$\boxtimes$			
Does the REF reasonably depict the proposed activity in				
figures, plans and/or photomontages including indicative				
details of:				
overall layout?				
<ul> <li>maximum height and footprint of buildings?</li> </ul>				
elevational treatment of buildings?				
• tree planting and general landscape treatment?				
Attachments	$\boxtimes$			
Does the REF list documents (with revision numbers and				
dates) that form part of the REF and are relied upon to				
assess the proposed activity?				
p p p p p p.	I	1	I	

Vincentia High School Upgrade | REF Review Checklist | 1/04/2025 | 3 / 17

Requirement	Y	Ν	N/A	Comments
Does the REF list include a list of all mitigation measures in	$\boxtimes$			
Appendix 1?				
Does the REF reference and list all figures and tables?	$\boxtimes$			
Terminology	$\boxtimes$			
Does the REF use appropriate terminology for a REF:				
<ul> <li>"activity" instead of "development"?</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>"NSW Department of Education" shortened to "the</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
department" instead of "School Infrastructure NSW" or				
"SINSW"?				
"Proponent" instead of "Applicant"?	$\square$			
"Mitigation measures" instead of "conditions"?	$\square$			
Transport and accessibility				
Does the REF include a Transport and Accessibility Impact	$\boxtimes$			
Assessment (TAIA)?				
RTA / early consultation	$\boxtimes$			
Does the TAIA summarise the work undertaken as part of,				
and the findings of, a Rapid Transport Assessment (RTA)?				
Does the REF summarise consultation undertaken through the Transport Working Group (TWG) process, including	$\boxtimes$			
issues raised by transport agencies and proposed				
responses?				
Existing conditions	$\square$			
Does the TAIA describe the existing road network, including:				
the wider state network and local network?				
speed and parking restrictions?	$\boxtimes$			
public transport?	$\boxtimes$			
pedestrian infrastructure?	$\boxtimes$			
any known road safety issues?	$\square$			
any significant infrastructure gaps identified?				
Construction traffic				
Does the TAIA:				
<ul> <li>set out proposed construction vehicle routes and site</li> </ul>				
access arrangements and estimated movements per				
day?				
• include a high level assessment of / conclusion that the	$\boxtimes$			
local road network could accommodate the movements				
subject to appropriate management?				
set out parking arrangements for construction workers	$\boxtimes$			
and conclude that sufficient parking would be available				
on site / proposed arrangements would avoid detrimental				
impacts to local roads?				
set out whether works zones are required?				
<ul> <li>include a preliminary construction management plan that details management and mitigation measures to</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
minimise impacts and ensure safety of road users and				
pedestrians?				
Operational traffic				
Does the TAIA:				
estimate the expected trip generation as a result of the				
proposed development having regard to:				
<ul> <li>proposed number of students and staff?</li> </ul>	$\square$			
<ul> <li>assumed travel mode share for the school</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
developed having regard to:				
<ul> <li>existing mode share</li> <li>proposed measures to reduce car-based travel</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>proposed measures to reduce car-based travel</li> <li>mode shares achieved for schools with similar</li> </ul>				
use and transport characteristics?				
<ul> <li>data from other nearby schools / previous studies</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
and/or census data?				
<ul> <li>expected distribution across the local road network?</li> </ul>	$\square$			
outline future surrounding roads/road infrastructure			$\boxtimes$	ILP not relevant

Vincentia High School Upgrade | REF Review Checklist | 1/04/2025 | 4 / 17

Re	quirement	Y	Ν	N/A	Comments
	shown on a relevant Indicative Layout Plan/Masterplan and how the development responds to these?				
•	include a SIDRA analysis (or other modelling agreed through the TWG) of key nearby intersections before the proposed development (i.e. existing) and after the development at completion and 10 years after?				SIDRA not undertaken
•	include the detailed SIDRA modelling results?			$\boxtimes$	
•	include a conclusion that the SIDRA analysis (or alternative) demonstrates that the local road network can accommodate the additional traffic generated by the development?				
•	if there is a reduction in Level of Service (LoS) from pre to post development, does the TIA justify that this is acceptable or set out measures to mitigate the impact / accommodate the additional demand?				
•	identify how significant infrastructure gaps will be addressed?	$\boxtimes$			
•	include an existing conditions road safety assessment if existing road safety issues were identified?			$\square$	
٠	identify how any known safety issues will be addressed?	$\boxtimes$			
	hool Transport Plan s a School Transport Plan been included in the TAIA ich: sets out measures to reduce car-based travel?	$\boxtimes$			
•	sets achievable targets for mode shift with supporting	$\square$			
	explanation and evidence?				
•	include provisions for the monitoring and review of the plan?				
Op Do •	erational Parking es the TAIA clearly set out: proposed car parking?				
•	proposed bicycle car parking?	$\boxtimes$			
•	proposed end-of trip facilities?				No EOT proposed.
	es the TAIA include an assessment of likely demand for				
par	king having regard to the expected/target mode share?				
	doesn't match expected demand, does it include				
info ava on	ormation to demonstrate why this is acceptable? i.e. a parking of on street parking in surrounding streets based a parking demand survey to demonstrate spare capacity?				
par	es the TAIA include a similar assessment of bicycle king?	$\boxtimes$			
	vate vehicle drop-off and pick-up es the TAIA:	$\boxtimes$			
•	describe the proposed private vehicle drop-off and pick- up arrangements?				
•	identify the expected private vehicle drop-off / pick-up demand based on the expected/target mode share, number of trips / drop-offs and likely dwell time?	$\boxtimes$			
•	assess the capacity of the existing / proposed private vehicle drop-off / pick-up areas to accommodate the above demand?				
	s drop-off and pick-up es the TAIA: describe the proposed bus drop-off / pick-up arrangements?				
•	identify the expected bus drop-off / pick-up demand based on the expected/target mode share and likely dwell time?				
•	assess the capacity of the existing / proposed bus drop- off / pick-up to accommodate the above demand?	$\boxtimes$			
Se	vice and emergency vehicle access	$\boxtimes$			

 $\odot$   $\odot$ 

Vincentia High School Upgrade | REF Review Checklist | 1/04/2025 | 5 / 17

Requirement	Y	Ν	N/A	Comments
Does the TAIA:				
<ul> <li>set out the proposed access arrangements for service</li> <li>vabiates (i.e. parkage and other delivering) and</li> </ul>				
vehicles (i.e. garbage and other deliveries) and				
emergency vehicles?		_	_	
<ul> <li>set out any required mitigation or management</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
measures?				
<ul> <li>assess the above arrangements and conclude that they</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
would not have significant impacts?				
Overall assessment	$\boxtimes$			
Does the TAIA:				
<ul> <li>include a list of measures to mitigate the impacts of the</li> </ul>				
activity?				
<ul> <li>conclude overall, that the activity would not be likely to</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
have significant environment impacts?				
Noise and vibration				
Noise monitoring	$\boxtimes$			
Does the REF include a Noise and Vibration Impact				
Assessment (NVIA)?				
Does the assessment include background noise monitoring		$\boxtimes$		
at locations that appropriately represent the existing noise				
levels at nearby sensitive receivers (i.e. residences,				
churches, health facilities, etc.)?				
Does the background noise monitoring undertaken meet the				
			$\boxtimes$	
requirements of Noise Policy for Industry (2017) i.e. at least a				
week with acceptable weather conditions:				
<ul> <li>average wind speed &lt;5 m/s?</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>no rain or other extraneous noise?</li> </ul>				
Construction noise	$\boxtimes$			
Does the assessment consider impacts from construction				
noise and vibration in accordance with the Interim				
Construction Noise Guideline?				
Does it:	$\boxtimes$			
<ul> <li>determine noise management levels for the</li> </ul>				
development?				
<ul> <li>predict noise levels of the proposed construction</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
activities (usually of expected standard activities and				
equipment and associated noise levels given that full				
construction methodology will not yet be known)?				
<ul> <li>conclude whether the predicted levels would exceed the</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
noise management levels?				
<ul> <li>set out measures to minimise impacts to sensitive</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
receivers, including existing school users, and ensure				
best practice on site?				
conclude whether construction noise would be likely to	$\boxtimes$			
result in significant impacts?				
<ul> <li>adopt standard construction hours set out in the ICNG or</li> </ul>				
include justification where non-standard hours are				
proposed?	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	_	_	
Vibration	$\boxtimes$			
Does the assessment include an assessment of potential				
impacts as a result of vibration during constriction which:				
<ul> <li>relevant standards and assessment criteria for human</li> </ul>				
comfort, sensitive equipment and structural damage?				
details potential sources of vibration during construction	$\boxtimes$			
having regard to typical activities and equipment				
expected to undertake proposed construction works?				
consider potential impacts having regard to separation	$\boxtimes$			
distances to nearby sensitive receivers?				
<ul> <li>sets out measures to mitigate potential impacts,</li> </ul>				
including existing school users?	لاست			
<ul> <li>concludes that the proposed activity would not be likely</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
to have significant environmental affects following				

Vincentia High School Upgrade | REF Review Checklist | 1/04/2025 | 6 / 17

Requirement	Y	N	N/A	Comments
mitigation?				
Operational noise	$\square$			
Does the assessment:				
consider noise impacts from all aspects of proposed				
operations in accordance with the Noise Policy for				
Industry (2017) or Association of Australasian Acoustical				
Consultants Guideline for Child Care Centre Acoustic				
Assessment in the case of outdoor play?				
determine noise criteria that would be applicable?				
consider all proposed activities, including:     indeer learning activities?	$\boxtimes$			
<ul> <li>indoor learning activities?</li> <li>outdoor play?</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$	
<ul> <li>plant and equipment (i.e. air conditioning)</li> <li>use of the hall</li> </ul>				
use of outdoor sports courts				
<ul> <li>conclude that the proposal would meet the project noise trigger levels?</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
<ul> <li>set out mitigation measures if the proposal does not meet the trigger levels, does the assessment</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
Internal noise tenability		$\boxtimes$		NVA notes rail and road noise or
Does the assessment:		_		vibration not required given site
<ul> <li>consider external sources of noise in proximity to the site (i.e. main roads or rail corridors)?</li> </ul>				context.
<ul> <li>detail applicable internal noise comfort criteria having regard to the EFSG?</li> </ul>		$\boxtimes$		
predict internal noise levels?		$\boxtimes$		
conclude that internal noise levels would meet criteria?		$\boxtimes$		
set out any proposed mitigation measures required to				
meet the criteria?				
Overall assessment	$\boxtimes$			
Does the assessment:				
<ul> <li>include a list of measures to mitigate the impacts of the activity?</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>conclude overall, that the activity would not be likely to result in significant environmental affects?</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
Does the REF list any mitigation measures identified in the	$\boxtimes$			
assessment and incorporate them into the design where				
applicable (i.e. does the design include mechanical				
ventilation where this is required to achieve internal comfort levels)?				
Biodiversity				
Does the REF include either:	$\square$			
<ul> <li>a statement from a suitably gualified ecologist that the</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>a statement from a suitably qualified ecologist that the proposed activity will not be carried out in a declared</li> </ul>				
area of outstanding biodiversity value and is not likely to				
significantly affect threatened species, populations or				
ecological communities, or their habitats or impact				
biodiversity values, meaning a Species Impact				
Statement and/or Biodiversity Development Assessment				
Report is not required having regard to <u>s7.8</u> of the				
Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (this might include a				
statement that accompanies a Biodiversity Development				
Assessment Report (BDAR) waiver issue ahead of a previously proposed SSD application); or				
<ul> <li>a SIS; and/or</li> </ul>				
• a BDAR?				
A statement that the proposed activity is not likely to have a	$\square$			
significant impact on matters of national environmental				
significance, or on the environment of Commonwealth land,				
and therefore referral to the Minister under the EPBC Act is				
not required?				

Vincentia High School Upgrade | REF Review Checklist | 1/04/2025 | 7 / 17

	M			
Requirement	Y	N	N/A	Comments
Note: Contact the Statutory Planning team if impacts are likely. If the development is on biodiversity certified land, does the REF include information to identify the site (using associated mapping) and demonstrate the proposed development is consistent with the relevant biodiversity measure conferred by the biodiversity certification?				
Does the REF list any mitigation measures identified in the assessment and incorporate them into the design where applicable?				
Flooding				
Flood Hazard	$\boxtimes$			
<ul> <li>Does the REF include either:</li> <li>information that demonstrates that the site and key access routes are free of flood risk; or</li> <li>a Flood Risk and Impact Assessment (FIRA)?</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>If a FIRA has been prepared, does it:</li> <li>state that it has been prepared in accordance with the updated Floodplain Management Manual and Toolkit, including Planning Circular PD24-001?</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>detail consultation undertaken with the local council and any relevant agencies (i.e. State Emergency Service) to identify existing, draft and proposed flood studies relevant to the site?</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>describe the flood potential of the site and key access routes having regard to available flood studies and information, the conditions of the site, and the types of flood:         <ul> <li>mainstream flooding?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>overland flows?</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$	
<ul> <li>flash flooding?</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$	
describe the key flood mechanisms?			$\square$	
<ul> <li>include flood modelling showing flood extent, levels, depths, velocities and hazard classifications for all relevant events, including:</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>1% AEP / 1 in 100yr?</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$	
<ul> <li>5% AEP / 1 in 20yr?</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$	
<ul> <li>10% AEP / 1 in 10yr?</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$	
<ul> <li>0.2% AEP / 1 in 500yr?</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$	
<ul> <li>0.02% AEP / 1 in 5000yr?</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$	
○ PMF?			$\boxtimes$	
<ul> <li>consider the timeframe for flood waters to inundate the site and timeframe for water to hit peak levels?</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$	
consider the impacts of climate change on future flood     frequency and levels?				
<ul> <li>Risk / impact of flood on the activity</li> <li>If a FIRA has been prepared, does it:</li> <li>determine whether the proposal is in a high-risk</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$	
<ul> <li>catchment?</li> <li>the location of the proposal in relation to flood behaviour and constraints including floodway, flood storage area or flood fringe area?</li> </ul>				
the hazard vulnerability classification of the land?				
frequency of inundation?			$\square$	
<ul> <li>whether the proposal provides for safe occupation and efficient and effective evacuation in flood events and how it is to be achieved?</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>in high-risk catchments, whether the proposal is likely to result in a significant increase to the risk to life in other parts of the catchment in a PMF flood event?</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>any known evacuation constraints such as the flood emergency response classification for the area and</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$	

Vincentia High School Upgrade | REF Review Checklist | 1/04/2025 | 8 / 17

Impa If a F cons	Available warning times (including rate of rise and when he evacuation route is cut off by floodwater)? whether the proposal is for a sensitive or hazardous land use, or other higher risk uses and what mitigation strategies (if any) are proposed to reduce any identified isks? <b>Inct of the activity on flood outside of the site</b> 'IRA has been prepared, does it address the matters to ider set out in PS-24-001, including has it determined: potential impacts of cut and fill and other building works on flood behaviour? whether there may be adverse flooding impacts on surrounding properties? ability of proposed development to withstand flood mpacts?			Comments
Impa If a F cons	he evacuation route is cut off by floodwater)? whether the proposal is for a sensitive or hazardous land use, or other higher risk uses and what mitigation strategies (if any) are proposed to reduce any identified risks? <b>Act of the activity on flood outside of the site</b> IRA has been prepared, does it address the matters to ider set out in PS-24-001, including has it determined: potential impacts of cut and fill and other building works on flood behaviour? whether there may be adverse flooding impacts on surrounding properties? ability of proposed development to withstand flood mpacts?			
Impa If a F cons •	use, or other higher risk uses and what mitigation strategies (if any) are proposed to reduce any identified isks? <b>act of the activity on flood outside of the site</b> IRA has been prepared, does it address the matters to ider set out in PS-24-001, including has it determined: botential impacts of cut and fill and other building works on flood behaviour? whether there may be adverse flooding impacts on surrounding properties? ability of proposed development to withstand flood mpacts?			
If a F cons	IRA has been prepared, does it address the matters to ider set out in PS-24-001, including has it determined: botential impacts of cut and fill and other building works on flood behaviour? whether there may be adverse flooding impacts on surrounding properties? ability of proposed development to withstand flood mpacts?		$\boxtimes$	
•	whether there may be adverse flooding impacts on surrounding properties? ability of proposed development to withstand flood mpacts?			
•	ability of proposed development to withstand flood mpacts?			
Duil				
	<b>ding and structure design</b> TRA has been prepared, does it:			
1	nominate a flood planning level (minimum floor level plus reeboard) for proposed buildings?			
	ecommend any other mitigations such as flood resistant naterials or structural requirements?			
does Resp cons	site or key access routes are impacted by flood waters, the REF include a preliminary Flood Emergency oonse Plan (FERP) that has been prepared in ultation with NSW SES?			
	the plan clearly and simply detail: he flood potential of the site?		$\boxtimes$	
•	detail roles and responsibilities across the department and relevant emergency response agencies?			
•	lood monitoring and warning systems consistent with advice received to date from NSW SES?			
•	lood warning times and notifications?		$\boxtimes$	
	emergency management triggers, including rainfall and water levels?		$\boxtimes$	
	he emergency response to a flood event or events where different flood mechanisms impact a site?			
•	nessaging and communication protocols?		$\boxtimes$	
•	assembly points and flood free routes (where required)?		$\boxtimes$	
1	shelter in place locations (where required as last resort) hat are able to withstand flood and debris forces of the PMF?			
•	nechanisms and requirements for regular review?		$\boxtimes$	
:	awareness training for employees, contractors, visitors, students and caregivers and induction of new staff nembers?		$\boxtimes$	
	clusion		$\boxtimes$	
•	the FIRA: conclude that the proposal would not be likely to result in significant environmental effects?			
•	ist any mitigation measures identified in the assessment?			
Does asse appli	the REF list any mitigation measures identified in the ssment and incorporate them into the design where cable (i.e. flood resistant structures and materials)?			
Bus				
•	the REF include either: nformation that demonstrates that the site is not mapped as bushfire prone and is not adjacent to a potential pushfire hazard; or a Bushfire Assessment (BA)?			

Vincentia High School Upgrade | REF Review Checklist | 1/04/2025 | 9 / 17

Reg	uirement	Y	Ν	N/A	Comments
	BA has been prepared, does it:	$\boxtimes$			ooniniento
	assess the immediately adjoining bushfire hazard in				
	accordance with Planning for Bush Fire Protection				
	(PBP)?				
	consider bushfire in the wider landscape context and	$\boxtimes$			
	potential impacts to key access routes or surrounding				
	communities that may impact the activity?				
	dentify bushfire protection measures required under	$\boxtimes$			
	PBP?				
	confirm if the activity can comply with the required	$\boxtimes$			
	bushfire protection measures, including:				
	<ul> <li>provision of minimum asset protection zones (APZs)</li> </ul>				
	with all buildings outside of the APZs?				
	<ul> <li>minimum construction requirements for buildings?</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
	Note:				
	- Table 2, Appendix B of the Addendum November 2022 to PBP requires school buildings on bushfire prone land to be built to a				
	minimum of BAL-19.				
	- The NSW RFS has advised that BAL-Low does not apply to school				
	and similar developments under PBP. If the consultant considers that no construction standard applies, the report should state 'no				
	requirements' in order to avoid further information requests from the				
	NSW RFS.				
	o access roads?	$\boxtimes$			
	<ul> <li>provision of a perimeter road between the buildings</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$	
	and the bush fire hazard?				
	<ul> <li>water provision?</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
	<ul> <li>design of utilities?</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
	<ul> <li>emergency management arrangements?</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
	<ul> <li>landscaping?</li> </ul>				
	clusion	$\boxtimes$			
	s the BA:				
	conclude that the proposal would not be likely to result in				
	significant environmental effects?				
	ist any mitigation measures identified in the	$\boxtimes$			
	assessment?				
	s the REF list any mitigation measures identified in the	$\square$			
	ssment and incorporate them into the design where				
	cable (i.e. APZs, BAL-19 construction?				
Con	tamination				
	e either of the following been prepared to inform the	$\square$			PSI only
REF					
	a Preliminary Site Investigation (PSI) and/or Detailed				
	Site Investigation (DSI) that conclude that there is a low				
	risk of contamination and that the site is suitable for the				
	use of the site as a school; or				
• ;	a PSI and/or DSI and a Remediation Action Plan (RAP)?				
	s the PSI, DSI and RAP address all the potential sources	$\boxtimes$			
	ntamination mentioned in the various report?				
If the	DSI or RAP identifies that limited further testing is			$\boxtimes$	No further testing is
requ	ired, has this been incorporated as a mitigation measure				recommended.
	e REF?				
	nediation is required, does the REF determine if the			$\boxtimes$	
	ediation is Category 1 or 2 having regarded to the				
	ards and Resilience SEPP?				
	s the REF include an interim statement from a Site			$\boxtimes$	
	tor confirming that the RAP is appropriate?		_		
	interim statement, does the RAP set out actions to			$\boxtimes$	
	ediate all potential sources of contamination?	5-7			
	s the REF summarise investigations undertaken and	$\boxtimes$			
	lude that contamination risk has been appropriately essed in accordance with the Hazards and Resilience				
SEP					
	the PSI, DSI and/or RAP concluded that the proposal	$\boxtimes$			
1103	and i or, bor ana/or toti concluded that the proposal				

Vincentia High School Upgrade | REF Review Checklist | 1/04/2025 | 10 / 17

Requirement	Y	Ν	N/A	Comments
would not be likely to result in significant environmental				
effects as a result of contamination and/or contamination				
management?				
Does the REF list any mitigation measures identified in the	$\boxtimes$			
assessment and incorporate them into the design where				
applicable?				
Environmental heritage				
Heritage items	$\boxtimes$			
lf:				
<ul> <li>any portion of the site is a listed heritage item on the department's s170 register, in the Local Environmental Plan (LEP) or on the State Heritage Register (SHR); or</li> <li>there is a place listed on any s170 register, LEP or SHR immediately near the site; or</li> <li>the school site in a heritage conservation area; or</li> <li>the site has been previously assessed as having heritage significance even if no statutory listing has been provided;</li> </ul>				
has a heritage impact statement (HIS) been prepared to				
support the REF?				
<ul> <li>If a HIS has been prepared, does the HIS:</li> <li>provide a clear assessment of heritage significance against the NSW guidelines for Assessing Heritage Significance?</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>include an assessment of the degree of impact (physical and visual) to identified heritage items?</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
<ul> <li>assess the impacts of the proposal to be less then minimal?</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
detail consultation with council if the impacts were     assessed as more than minimal?			$\boxtimes$	
identify whether additional Heritage Act approvals (s60			$\boxtimes$	
<ul> <li>include adequate project justification and analysis of design options to show that the heritage impacts were not avoidable (if the impacts were assessed as more than minimal)?</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>permits) are required if the item is on the SHR?</li> <li>include adequate project justification and analysis of design options to show that the heritage impacts were not avoidable (if the impacts were assessed as more</li> </ul>				No mitigation recommended.
<ul> <li>permits) are required if the item is on the SHR?</li> <li>include adequate project justification and analysis of design options to show that the heritage impacts were not avoidable (if the impacts were assessed as more than minimal)?</li> </ul>				No mitigation recommended.
<ul> <li>permits) are required if the item is on the SHR?</li> <li>include adequate project justification and analysis of design options to show that the heritage impacts were not avoidable (if the impacts were assessed as more than minimal)?</li> <li>set out appropriate mitigation measures?</li> </ul>				No mitigation recommended.
<ul> <li>permits) are required if the item is on the SHR?</li> <li>include adequate project justification and analysis of design options to show that the heritage impacts were not avoidable (if the impacts were assessed as more than minimal)?</li> <li>set out appropriate mitigation measures?</li> </ul>				No mitigation recommended.
<ul> <li>permits) are required if the item is on the SHR?</li> <li>include adequate project justification and analysis of design options to show that the heritage impacts were not avoidable (if the impacts were assessed as more than minimal)?</li> <li>set out appropriate mitigation measures?</li> <li>Archaeology</li> <li>Does the REF and/or HIS:</li> <li>consider the potential for archaeological relics either in a HIS or through existing regional planning documentation</li> </ul>				No mitigation recommended.
<ul> <li>permits) are required if the item is on the SHR?</li> <li>include adequate project justification and analysis of design options to show that the heritage impacts were not avoidable (if the impacts were assessed as more than minimal)?</li> <li>set out appropriate mitigation measures?</li> <li>Archaeology Does the REF and/or HIS: <ul> <li>consider the potential for archaeological relics either in a HIS or through existing regional planning documentation or similar?</li> <li>include an evidence-based archaeological assessment, including a clear grading of the potential for archaeological significance is? </li> <li>If an archaeological assessment was undertaken has:</li> <li>the assessment been informed by historic archaeological</li> </ul></li></ul>				No mitigation recommended.
<ul> <li>permits) are required if the item is on the SHR?</li> <li>include adequate project justification and analysis of design options to show that the heritage impacts were not avoidable (if the impacts were assessed as more than minimal)?</li> <li>set out appropriate mitigation measures?</li> <li>Archaeology</li> <li>Does the REF and/or HIS:</li> <li>consider the potential for archaeological relics either in a HIS or through existing regional planning documentation or similar?</li> <li>include an evidence-based archaeological assessment, including a clear grading of the potential for archaeological remains to be identified, and what their archaeological significance is?</li> <li>If an archaeological assessment was undertaken has:</li> <li>the assessment been informed by historic archaeological test excavation (where necessary)?</li> <li>the assessment identified impacts to archaeological</li> </ul>				No mitigation recommended.
<ul> <li>permits) are required if the item is on the SHR?</li> <li>include adequate project justification and analysis of design options to show that the heritage impacts were not avoidable (if the impacts were assessed as more than minimal)?</li> <li>set out appropriate mitigation measures?</li> <li>Archaeology Does the REF and/or HIS:</li> <li>consider the potential for archaeological relics either in a HIS or through existing regional planning documentation or similar?</li> <li>include an evidence-based archaeological assessment, including a clear grading of the potential for archaeological remains to be identified, and what their archaeological assessment was undertaken has:</li> <li>the assessment been informed by historic archaeological test excavation (where necessary)?</li> <li>the assessment identified impacts to archaeological relics?</li> </ul>				No mitigation recommended.
<ul> <li>permits) are required if the item is on the SHR?</li> <li>include adequate project justification and analysis of design options to show that the heritage impacts were not avoidable (if the impacts were assessed as more than minimal)?</li> <li>set out appropriate mitigation measures?</li> <li>Archaeology Does the REF and/or HIS: <ul> <li>consider the potential for archaeological relics either in a HIS or through existing regional planning documentation or similar?</li> <li>include an evidence-based archaeological assessment, including a clear grading of the potential for archaeological assessment, including a clear grading of the potential for archaeological significance is? </li> <li>If an archaeological assessment was undertaken has: <ul> <li>the assessment been informed by historic archaeological relics?</li> <li>archaeological monitoring or test excavation been proposed under a self-approved s139(4) Exception, and if so, has an Exception Record of Use Form been submitted and signed?</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>				No mitigation recommended.
<ul> <li>permits) are required if the item is on the SHR?</li> <li>include adequate project justification and analysis of design options to show that the heritage impacts were not avoidable (if the impacts were assessed as more than minimal)?</li> <li>set out appropriate mitigation measures?</li> <li>Archaeology Does the REF and/or HIS: <ul> <li>consider the potential for archaeological relics either in a HIS or through existing regional planning documentation or similar?</li> <li>include an evidence-based archaeological assessment, including a clear grading of the potential for archaeological system their archaeological system to be identified, and what their archaeological assessment was undertaken has: <ul> <li>the assessment been informed by historic archaeological relics?</li> <li>archaeological monitoring or test excavation been proposed under a self-approved s139(4) Exception, and if so, has an Exception Record of Use Form been</li> </ul></li></ul></li></ul>				No mitigation recommended.
<ul> <li>permits) are required if the item is on the SHR?</li> <li>include adequate project justification and analysis of design options to show that the heritage impacts were not avoidable (if the impacts were assessed as more than minimal)?</li> <li>set out appropriate mitigation measures?</li> <li>Archaeology Does the REF and/or HIS: <ul> <li>consider the potential for archaeological relics either in a HIS or through existing regional planning documentation or similar?</li> <li>include an evidence-based archaeological assessment, including a clear grading of the potential for archaeological assessment, including a clear grading of the potential for archaeological test excavation (where necessary)? </li> <li>If an archaeological assessment was undertaken has:</li> <li>the assessment identified impacts to archaeological relics?</li> <li>archaeological monitoring or test excavation been proposed under a self-approved s139(4) Exception, and if so, has an Exception Record of Use Form been submitted and signed?</li> <li>is a permit under the Heritage Act (s140 / s60), approved by Heritage NSW, required to authorise impacts to</li> </ul></li></ul>				No mitigation recommended.
<ul> <li>permits) are required if the item is on the SHR?</li> <li>include adequate project justification and analysis of design options to show that the heritage impacts were not avoidable (if the impacts were assessed as more than minimal)?</li> <li>set out appropriate mitigation measures?</li> <li>Archaeology Does the REF and/or HIS: <ul> <li>consider the potential for archaeological relics either in a HIS or through existing regional planning documentation or similar?</li> <li>include an evidence-based archaeological assessment, including a clear grading of the potential for archaeological significance is?</li> </ul> </li> <li>If an archaeological assessment was undertaken has: <ul> <li>the assessment been informed by historic archaeological test excavation (where necessary)?</li> </ul> </li> <li>the assessment identified impacts to archaeological relics?</li> <li>archaeological monitoring or test excavation been proposed under a self-approved s139(4) Exception, and if so, has an Exception Record of Use Form been submitted and signed?</li> <li>is a permit under the Heritage Act (s140 / s60), approved by Heritage NSW, required to authorise impacts to relics?</li> </ul>				No mitigation recommended.

Vincentia High School Upgrade | REF Review Checklist | 1/04/2025 | 11 / 17

Do	quirement	Y	N	N/A	Comments
	es the REF either include:				Comments
-		$\boxtimes$			
•	confirmation that the activity does not include ground				
	disturbing works or removal of mature vegetation; or				
•	an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Due Diligence (DD), a				
	Preliminary Indigenous Heritage Assessment Impact				
	(PIHAI) and/or an Archaeological Survey Report (ASR)				
	which identifies no harm to Aboriginal objects or places				
	would occur; or				
•	an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Assessment Report				
	(ACHAR)?				
Not	e: where a DD / PIHAI / ASR has been prepared and it identifies				
that	Aboriginal objects or places are likely to be impacted, an				
	HAR must be prepared.				
Wh	ere an ASR has been prepared, has it assessed the			$\boxtimes$	
arc	haeological nature and significance of Aboriginal sites				
with	nin the study area (through survey / test excavation)?				
Wh	ere an ACHAR has been prepared, has it been			$\boxtimes$	
unc	lertaken in accordance with the OEH consultation				
gui	delines?				
Ŵh	ere an ACHAR has been prepared, has it completed the			$\boxtimes$	
	ndatory steps, including:				
•	agencies contacted to identify relevant parties;				
•	an advert placed in local paper to invite registrations of				
-	interest:				
•	invitations to register issued to potential stakeholder				
•	groups;				
_					
•	methodology issued to RAPs and invited to comment; and				
•	draft ACHAR sent to RAPs and invited to comment?				
	ere an ACHAR has been prepared:			$\boxtimes$	
•	have all comments provided by RAPs been addressed				
	and actioned (where possible) in the ACHAR?				
•	did the ASR or ACHAR assess the archaeological nature			$\boxtimes$	
	and significance of Aboriginal sites within the study area				
	(through survey / test excavation)?				
•	assess impacts of the proposed works?			$\boxtimes$	
•	indicate that an Aboriginal Heritage Impact Permit (AHIP)			$\boxtimes$	
	is required?				
Has	s the REF and/or supporting documents:	$\boxtimes$			
•	included a list of measures to mitigate the impacts of the				
	activity?				
•	concluded that the proposal would not be likely to result	$\boxtimes$			
•	in significant environmental effects?				
Dui	ilt form and urban design				
	0				
	ne project has a value over \$50M, has it been presented			$\boxtimes$	
ats	School Design Review Panel (SDRP)?				
If p	resented to SDRP, have comments from the Panel been:			$\boxtimes$	
٠	summarised in the REF / Design Report?				
•	appropriately considered, incorporated into the design			$\boxtimes$	
	(where appropriate) and responded to in the REF /				
	Architectural Design Report?				
Doe	es the Design Report:	$\boxtimes$			
•	explain how the proposed layout, building and facade				
	design appropriately considers and respond to the				
	existing / likely future / preferred character of the				
	streetscape?				
•	address the design quality principles in the T&I SEPP	$\boxtimes$			
	and the design principles set out in the Design Guide for				
	Schools?				
•	explain how the height of the proposed development is	$\boxtimes$			
	appropriate in consideration of the site context and form				
	of surrounding development?				

Vincentia High School Upgrade | REF Review Checklist | 1/04/2025 | 12 / 17

Poquiromont	Y	N	N/A	Commonto
Requirement				Comments
Environmental amenity Overshadowing	$\boxtimes$			
Does the REF:				
include shadow diagrams?	$\boxtimes$			
<ul> <li>discuss impacts from overshadowing impacts?</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
conclude that the proposal would have no significant	$\boxtimes$			
impacts?				
<ul> <li>if the proposal results in overshadowing of windows or private open space of residential properties, does the REF demonstrate maintenance of at least two hours of daylight as required by the Apartment Design Guide or otherwise in accordance with the applicable Planning principles?</li> </ul>				
Privacy	$\square$			
Does the REF consider potential privacy impacts of the proposed works and conclude that these would not be likely to result in significant effects with or without mitigation measures?				
Visual impacts	$\boxtimes$			
Does the REF assess potential visual impacts of the				
proposed works and conclude that impacts would not be significant with or without mitigation measures?				
Visual impacts (view sharing) – private views If the activity has the potential to obstruct existing significant views from private property, does the REF include an assessment of the proposal in accordance with the Tenacity Principles including as assessment of the: • type of views affected;				
• parts of the property the views are obtained;				
extent of the impact; and				
reasonableness of the proposal causing the impact?				
Does the assessment conclude overall, that the proposal			$\boxtimes$	
would not be likely to result in significant environmental effects?				
<ul> <li>Visual impacts (view sharing) – public views</li> <li>If the activity has the potential to obstruct existing significant views from public land, does the REF include an assessment in accordance with the established planning principles (i.e. principles established by the Land and Environment Court in Rose Bay Marina Pty Limited v Woollahra Municipal Council and anor [2013] NSWLEC 1046 (principles of view sharing: the impact on the public domain), including:</li> <li>an assessment of: <ul> <li>nature and scope of the existing views from public domain;</li> <li>locations in the public domain from which potentially interrupted view is enjoyed</li> <li>extent of the obstruction at each relevant location;</li> <li>intensity of public use of those locations where that enjoyment will be obscured, in whole or in part, by the proposed activity;</li> <li>whether there is any document that identifies the importance of the view to be assessed; and</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				
a quantitative and qualitative evaluation of the impacts?				
Does the REF list any mitigation measures identified in the above assessments and incorporate them into the design where applicable? Air quality				
If the site is adjacent to a significant potential source of air pollution (i.e. motorway, airport or hazardous industry), has the REF been informed by either of the following by a suitably qualified person: • a statement that air quality at the site is suitable for the				

Vincentia High School Upgrade | REF Review Checklist | 1/04/2025 | 13 / 17

	V	N		
Requirement	Y	N	N/A	Comments
proposed use based on expert advice and/or existing				
data;				
an air quality assessment?				
Note: Reference may need to be given to <u>Development Near Rail Corridors</u> and <u>Busy Roads – Interim Guideline</u> in the above				
If an air quality assessment is prepared, does it:			$\boxtimes$	
<ul> <li>conclude that air quality is suitable for the proposed use</li> </ul>				
with or without migration?				
<ul> <li>indicate impacts generated by the proposed activity and autoble mitigation measure?</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$	
suitable mitigation measures?				
Does the REF summarise the assessment and list any			$\boxtimes$	
mitigation measures identified in the above assessments and				
incorporate them into the design where applicable?				
Trees and landscaping				
Has an Aboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) been	$\boxtimes$			
prepared to support the REF which assesses existing trees				
within the proposed works area, including street trees, and				
recommends tree protection measures for trees to be				
retained?				
Does the REF discuss the number, species, pot sizes and	$\boxtimes$			
height of trees to be removed and trees to be planted?				
Have any tree protection measures set out in the AIA been	$\boxtimes$			
incorporated in:				
• the design;				
<ul> <li>REF mitigation measures; and</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>the preliminary construction methodology?</li> </ul>				
Social Impact				
Does the REF include an assessment of the social impacts of	$\boxtimes$			
the proposed activity comprising either:				
Sites identified for a school in a Voluntary Planning				
Agreement (VPA), Concept Approval, Precinct Plan,				
Indicative Layout Plan, adopted Masterplan, or other				
adopted strategic planning document, together with				
upgrades to existing schools - Social impact addressed				
in REF by the consultant town planner (i.e. no				
standalone SIA report).				
New schools where land has not been identified as a				
school in a strategic planning document or VPA etc -				
Social impact addressed in the REF by consultant town				
planner (or suitably experienced), or as necessary, in a				
stand-alone report.				
• Schools subject to closures or mergers, or where there is				
loss of, or substantial change to, community				
infrastructure: <u>Comprehensive SIA as a separate report</u>				
prepared by suitably experienced consultant.				
Ecologically sustainable development				
Does the ESD Report set sustainability targets for the activity	$\boxtimes$			
in line with the department's commitments, including:				
Green Star Buildings certification for projects with				
>1000m2 new building and >\$10m EDC of 5 Star for				
Sydney, Wollongong and Newcastle metro or 4 Star for				
rest of NSW				
Operational energy and potable water intensity targets				
for the activity?				
If Green Star Buildings certification is required, does the ESD	$\boxtimes$			
Report include:				
• the Green Star registration number for the project, and				
a Green Star Building pathway showing how activity will				
achieve the required number of credit points to certify?				
If applicable under the Sustainable Buildings SEPP, has an	$\boxtimes$			Embodied emissions form has
NABERS embodied emissions material form been included in	لاے			been prepared.
the ESD Report?				a ser proportion



Vincentia High School Upgrade | REF Review Checklist | 1/04/2025 | 14 / 17

Requirement	Y	Ν	N/A	Comments	
Does the ESD report include a Climate Change Risk	$\boxtimes$			Comments	
Assessment and Adaptation Plan? For sites identified as any high or extreme risks in the					
			$\boxtimes$		
Climate Change Risk Assessment and Adaptation Plan, have					
design responses been identified to been incorporated into					
the project to mitigate the risks?					
Does the ESD Report adequately address how the activity	$\boxtimes$				
will:					
<ul> <li>minimise waste from associated demolition and</li> </ul>	minimise waste from associated demolition and				
construction;					
<ul> <li>minimise peak electricity demand;</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>minimise overall energy use through passive design;</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>generate and store renewable energy;</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>minimise consumption of potable water; and</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>meter and monitor energy and water consumption and</li> </ul>					
energy generation?					
Does the ESD Report include a Net Zero Action Plan / Net	$\boxtimes$				
Zero in operations plan (exact name TBA) that adequately					
addresses how the activity has been designed to eliminate					
use of fossil fuels during operations, or how the use of fossil					
fuels will be minimised and will be eliminated by 2035?					
Staging			5-3		
If the project is to be staged, does the REF include			$\boxtimes$		
preliminary details on how construction and operations will					
be managed during each stage of the development, including					
the following for each stage:					
<ul> <li>operational areas and areas still under construction?</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>student/staff numbers?</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$		
<ul> <li>operational and construction access and parking</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$		
arrangements?					
<ul> <li>open space provision?</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$		
measures to ensure acceptable amenity for students and			$\boxtimes$		
staff in areas adjacent to ongoing construction?					
<ul> <li>measures to ensure the safety and security of students</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$		
and staff?					
Has each relevant technical report (transport and acoustic			$\boxtimes$		
reports at a minimum) assessed the proposed staging and					
concluded that it would not be likely to result in significant					
environmental affects, including cumulative affects?					
Does the REF list any mitigation measures identified as a			$\boxtimes$		
result of the proposed staging?					
Utilities					
Does the REF broadly set out how the proposal will be	$\boxtimes$				
serviced by necessary services and utilities?					
Does the REF assess any works required to provide	$\boxtimes$				
necessary services and utilities and conclude that these					
would not have significant environmental affects?					
If on site water treatment is required, does the REF include			$\square$		
an on-side waste water management plan / land capability					
assessment that concludes that the site would be capable of					
accommodating wastewater without significant affects on the					
environment?					
If temporary arrangements are required (i.e. generator), does			$\boxtimes$		
the REF assess any potential temporary environmental	_				
effects as a result of the arrangements and conclude that					
significant effects would not be likely?					
Stormwater drainage					
Has a stormwater management plan been prepared that:	$\boxtimes$				
<ul> <li>considers and complies with council's applicable</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>considers and complex with council's applicable engineering specifications, including requirement for on-</li> </ul>					
site detention and water quality treatment?					
<ul> <li>demonstrates that the proposed stormwater</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$				

Vincentia High School Upgrade | REF Review Checklist | 1/04/2025 | 15 / 17

Requirement       Y       N       N/A       Comments         management system would not increase runoff from the site (i.e. that post-development flows do not exceed pre-development flows)?       Image: Comment system       Image: Comment system       Image: Comment system         •       demonstrates that the stormwater management system       Image: Comment system       Image: Comment system       Image: Comment system	
site (i.e. that post-development flows do not exceed pre- development flows)?	
would discharge to a legal point of discharge?	
<ul> <li>conclude that stormwater would be managed so that the proposal would not be likely to have significant environmental affects?</li> </ul>	
Does the REF summarise the proposed stormwater management strategy and conclude that the activity would not be likely to have significant environmental impacts as a result of stormwater management with or without mitigation measures?	
Soil and water	
If the site is mapped as, or has otherwise been identified, as having salinity potential, does the geotechnical report consider impacts from salinity and set out measures to mitigate impacts (i.e. Salinity Management Plan) so that they would not be significant?	
If the site is mapped as, or has otherwise been identified as having acid sulfate soils (ASS) potential, does the geotechnical report consider impacts from ASS and set out measures to mitigate impacts (i.e. ASS Management Plan) so that they would not be significant?	
If the site is mapped as being in an area of groundwater vulnerability, does the REF include an Integrated Water Management Plan that assess the potential of the activity to impact groundwater and does it conclude that the activity would not be likely to have significant environmental impacts with or without mitigation measures?	
If the site is mapped as being in an area of landslide risk, does the REF assess the potential of the activity and does it conclude that the activity would not be likely to have significant environmental impacts with or without mitigation measures?	
<ul> <li>Has an Erosion and Sediment Control plan been prepared to inform the REF that includes:</li> <li>a plan(s) detailing: <ul> <li>property boundaries, existing levels of the land in relation to the building, roads and where stormwater surface flows enter and leave the site?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
o the location of stabilised construction access points? □ ⊠ □	
◦ the location of perimeter sediment/erosion controls?	
o any 'no-go' areas that are not to be disturbed? □ ⊠ □	
○ location of stockpile areas?	
<ul> <li>location of proposed temporary and permanent site</li> <li>drainage?</li> </ul>	
specific measures to be implemented to prevent pollution     of stormwater off the site?	
Does the REF summarise the proposed controls and incorporate any mitigation measures identified in the above documents?	io be
Waste management	
<ul> <li>Has a preliminary Construction Waste Management Plan been prepared that informs the REF that considers:</li> <li>the likely type and volume of waste generated by the activity?</li> </ul>	
opportunities to reuse and recycle waste in order to     reduce waste sent to landfill?	
set out measures to handle and dispose of the waste?     □ □ □	

Vincentia High School Upgrade | REF Review Checklist | 1/04/2025 | 16 / 17

	V	N		
Requirement	Y	N	N/A	Comments
significant environmental affects? Has a preliminary Operational Waste Management Plan	$\boxtimes$			
been prepared to inform the REF that considers:				
the likely type and volume of waste generated by the				
activity?				
opportunities to reuse and recycle waste in order to	$\boxtimes$			
reduce waste sent to landfill?				
<ul> <li>set out measures to handle and dispose of the waste including the number of bins, siting and size of the waste</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$			
storage area, and truck access arrangements (including				
swept path diagrams to demonstrate access can be				
achieved in a forward direction)?				
Council's waste management policies, if applicable?	$\boxtimes$			
conclude that appropriate arrangements can be put in	$\boxtimes$			
place such that there would not be likely to have				
significant environmental affects? Does the REF summarise outcomes of the above and	$\boxtimes$			
incorporate any mitigation measures identified in the above				
documents?				
Aviation				
If the proposal is located within the Obstacle Limitation			$\boxtimes$	
Surface (OLS) or is in close proximity to an aviation facility,				
including helicopter landing sites, has a statement from a				
suitably qualified person assessing the proposed activity been prepared to inform the REF?				
Does the statement:			$\boxtimes$	
describe the nearby aviation facility?				
<ul> <li>any relevant policies, procedures or controls that apply</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$	
to development works on the site?				
<ul> <li>assess any potential impacts from the activity, including</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$	
proposed buildings, on aviation operations?				
<ul> <li>assess any potential impacts of the proposed construction activities, including use of cranes, on</li> </ul>			$\boxtimes$	
aviation operations?				
<ul> <li>describe any consultant with the relevant airport, CASA</li> </ul>			$\square$	
or other relevant aviation authority?				
advise if any approvals are required under aviation			$\boxtimes$	
legislation?				
conclude that the proposal is appropriate and would not			$\boxtimes$	
have detrimental impacts on aviation safety or operations?				
Does the REF summarise outcomes of the above and			$\boxtimes$	
incorporate any mitigation measures identified in the above				
documents?				
Signs				
Does the REF include:			$\boxtimes$	
an assessment of the proposed signs against the				
Chapter 3 Advertising and Signage, under SEPP				
(Industry and Employment) 2021a site plan and elevations of any proposed signs that clearly depict the				
location, type, content and appearance of any proposed				
signs that form part of the REF activity?				
Other				
If the site is located in a coastal management or sensitivity			$\boxtimes$	
area, does the REF include a Coastal Management				
Assessment that assesses the likely impacts of the activity on coastal values and concludes that these would not be				
likely to be significant with or without mitigation?				
If the site is in proximity to a gas or oil pipeline, petrol station,			$\boxtimes$	
LPG storage, landfill or other hazardous use, does the REF	_		ت <u>۔</u>	
include a Preliminary Hazard Assessment that assesses the				
risk to the proposed activity and concludes that the risk is				

Vincentia High School Upgrade | REF Review Checklist | 1/04/2025 | 17 / 17

Requirement	Y	Ν	N/A	Comments
acceptable according to any relevant assessment framework?				
If the site is in a Mine Subsidence District or Mine Subsidence Risk Area, does it include a Mine Subsidence Statement and Advisory Board Approval or Mine Subsidence Risk Report (as appropriate?				
If the site is in proximity to high voltage power lines or telecommunication towers, does the REF include an Electromagnetic Field Report that assesses the risk to the proposed activity and concludes that the risk is acceptable according to any relevant assessment framework?				
If the site is located in an area of risk of unexploded ordinance, does the REF include an Unexploded Ordinance Risk Assessment that assesses the risk to the proposed activity and concludes that the risk is acceptable according to any relevant assessment framework?				
If the proposed activity includes a proposed government preschool, does the REF include a report that details how the proposed activity complies with Part 4 of the Child Care Planning Guideline September 2021?				

# Completion

		Name and position	Signature	Date
Prepa	ed:	Rosie Sutcliffe Project Town Planning Consultant	Rette.	1/04/2025
Review	ved:	Alex Jellie Senior Project Manager	A Jellie	1/04/2025

